


## REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed in the above-referenced application on March 6, 2006, a restriction requirement was made by the Examiner to pending claims 1-11. The claims were placed into two groups: Group I (claims 1-9) drawn to products of the formula as found in claim 1; and Group II (claims 10 and 11) drawn to methods of use for the products of the formula as found in claim 1 (no claim 21 was present in the original application).


An election is hereby made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I (claims 1-9).

In addition, the Examiner states on page 3 of the Office Action that "where an election of any one of Groups I-II is made, an election of a single compound is further required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected". Accordingly, Applicants elect the following single compound, supported in Example 94 and Figure 44 of the application as filed:



Claims which read on the elected species are as follows:

Substituent or group	Claim #
Y = NH	1, 2
W = CH	1, 2
R <sup>3</sup> = H	1, 2
U = CH	1
V = CH	1
X = O	1, 4
G = CH	1, 3
J = CH	1, 3
K = CF	1, 3

T = CH	1, 3
R <sub>x</sub> = CH <sub>2</sub>	1, 5, 7
Q = NHC(=O)NH	1, 7
 R <sub>y</sub> =	1, 8


The Examiner further states on page 5 of the office action that "[i]f desired upon election of a single compound, applicants can review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the invention and can set forth a group of compounds which are so similar within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice. Markush claims must be provided with support in the disclosure for each member of the Markush group".

Applicants have therefore elected their own group, and have amended claims 1 and 2 and added new claims 12-14 to reflect the elected group. Support for the amendment to claims 1 and 2 and for new claims 12-14 can be found in Examples 88, 90-102, and 104-106. It is respectfully requested, however, that the Examiner set out a proper restriction requirement in the next Office Action, defining all of the groups of this invention to clarify the remaining subject matter that may be pursued in other applications.

This response is being filed together with a Petition for a 1-Month Extension. Please charge Deposit Account 50-1123 the large entity \$120.00 extension fee and any other fees associated with this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

May 4, 2006

  
 Carol W. Burton, Reg. No. 35,465  
 Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.  
 1200 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500  
 Denver, CO 80202  
 Telephone: (303) 454-2454  
 Facsimile: (303) 899-7333